FURTHER REPRESENTATIONS THAT WERE OMMITED FORM THE ORIGINAL REPORT FOR WRIGHT BROTHERS LAMB STREET.

## **Alex Lisowski**

**From:** Mohshin Ali on behalf of Licensing

**Sent:** 30 May 2014 10:14 **To:** Alex Lisowski

**Subject:** FW: Licence 16805 Wrights Bros Spitalfields

From: DawsonPhilippa Sent: 29 May 2014 19:02

**To:** Licensing **Cc:** Margaret Gordon

Subject: Licence 16805 Wrights Bros Spitalfields

#### **Dear Tower Hamlets**

I strongly object to this licencing request. The establishment in question already has outside seating inside the market. Is this not sufficient.

The outside area they are asking to use is very narrow and would mean passing would be inconvenient. The moving of tables in and out would cause noise, the sitting of people drinking would resonate throughout the Lamb Street area and would be v annoying and disturb my evenings. When the last establishment used to allow just people standing out smoking, this used to cause disturbances and feel with tables this would be 100% worse.

There is enough seating for the restaurant and their desire for more which will make my life worse isnt something I hope tower hamlets will agree to.

### **Alex Lisowski**

**From:** Mohshin Ali on behalf of Licensing

**Sent:** 04 June 2014 15:42 **To:** Alex Lisowski

**Subject:** FW: wright bros application

From: Michael Myers [Sent: 04 June 2014 14:54

To: Licensing

Subject: wright bros application

4/6/2014

LICENSING DEPT TOWER HAMLETS COUNCIL

REF: 076002

Dear Sir/Madam

# LICENSE APPLICATION by WRIGHT BROTHERS 8a LAMB ST E1

.....

Spitalfields Market Residents Group wish to register our objection to application.

- \* Most of the pavement is Council owned, limiting placing of tables and chairs
- \* A panel below a window frontage contains a large metal grill which emanates a constant noise the whole day, and would preclude having a table there.
- \* Space between restaurant entrance and resident street door (No 8) is, too narrow to consider.

We say there would only be room for two or possibly three tables. This can easily be checked.

\* The applicant states they "will happily move guests inside after 10pm".

Does that mean, if guests are still eating their meal?

The restaurant already has an outdoor area at the rear for eating and drinking,

to accommodate over 20 diners, which beggars the question, why bother just for two or three tables on Lamb st, which can be beset by problems.?

We fear the application is to cater for alcohol drinking plus those that smoke

We ask you to reject this proposal

Mike Myers

Chair: Spitalfields Market Residents Group

#### **Alex Lisowski**

**From:** Andrew Heron on behalf of Licensing

**Sent:** 29 May 2014 11:10 **To:** Alex Lisowski

**Subject:** FW: licence appliation for 8a Lamb St, E1

From: Spitalfields Community Group [

**Sent:** 28 May 2014 20:22

To: Licensing

Subject: licence appliation for 8a Lamb St, E1

Dear Sir/Madam,

We would like to object to the licence application by Wright Brothers, 8a Lamb St, E1.

Spitalfields Community Group (SCG) was formed in 2011 with the aim of promoting and protecting the quality of life of people living and working in the ward of Spitalfields and Banglatown. We have over 200 members and are growing. Our current survey, conducted to identify priorities for action, highlighted the growing problems faced by locals as a result of the existing dense concentration of licensed premises in the area, many with late licences, exacerbating the negative impact on us of the night time economy.

Spitalfields is already saturated with licensed premises. LBTH recognised this and recently introduced a Cumulative Impact Zone to help reduce the effect of alcohol-fuelled anti-social behaviour on the lives of those who live in the area. The applicant's premises lie within that zone. Any increase in the capacity of licensed premises within this zone can only exacerbate the problems suffered by local residents.

Lamb Street is largely residential. The residents of the flats above the market and those on the north side of the street already experience problems with noise from the operation of the market. This includes noise from market traders loading and unloading their vans, that from large lorries and utility vehicles serving the market, and that from the huge numbers of visitors to the market. Allowing restaurant patrons to socialise outside will only add to these problems.

The pavement on Lamb Street is busy, especially in the early evening. Traders wheel trolleys between the market and their vans. We understand that the owners of Old Spitalfields Market have plans to attract more visitors to Lamb Street in particular. The narrowing of the useable pavement that this application entails will only make this problem worse.

We urge you to reject the application.

Many thanks for your help in this matter.

Matthew Piper

For and on behalf of SCG